

Kalamata,  
Billington Lane,  
Derrington,  
Stafford,  
ST18 9LR  
17 Oct 2021

To:  
The Birmingham Mercantile Court

CC

The National Crime Agency,  
The Office of the Attorney General  
The Executives of S.I.S and GCHQ  
Val Broeksmit  
The Family of Gareth Williams  
Tom Hayes  
And Others

Court order to expose Epstein's blackmail ring, Russian oligarchs who profited and the murders of William Broeksmit and Gareth Williams ('The Spy in the Bag') to conceal the truth .

Dear Sirs,

I attach an application for a court order for B40BM021 to force defendants to answer a Notice to Admit Facts. I have written this in plain English with little or no legalise and no Latin, as the document is of public interest, is for public perusal, and since it concerns not only my claims, but also exposes the defendants and a number of corrupt judges in the cover-up for the murders of Gareth Williams in August 2010 and William Broeksmit in January 2014. There is strong evidence that incriminates the coroner, Fiona Wilcox, for concealing or obfuscating the truth in at least one of the murders, and possibly both. Williams and Broeksmit were involved in the investigation of bank fraud – Libor manipulation in the case of Broeksmit and money laundering to the Russian Mafia in the case of Williams. It is most likely both men had established that Deutsche Bank and its executives had acted criminally and the discovery of these crimes led to their assassination. There is also overwhelming evidence that Felix Hufeld, President of BaFin, knowingly put out patently false disclosures with the very purpose of covering up DB's involvement with organized crime. Hufeld's statements were asserted as true by the mainstream press without proper scrutiny. The forensic evidence that shows his assertions were falacious is presented in my court order and the associated preliminary evidence bundle.

In the tragic death of Gareth Williams the conduct of government has been most reprehensible – consider that Williams worked for GCHQ, at a time when security services are openly recruiting gay and transgender operatives as part of their LGBTQ initiative. His job was to investigate money laundering to Russia, and that appears to be the most likely reason he was eventually murdered. During the key period of the investigation the police put out information implying Williams was a cross-dresser and homosexual, contrary to the beliefs of his family, and this was used to imply that he died as a result of his own perverse sexual activities. The psychology of this *narrative* is analogous to an apologist for rape in male prisons, in which the victim is blamed for being a sissy, and the perpetrator's act of sodomy is passed off as a statement of power rather than of vile sexual deviancy. As if such an expression of power justifies rape. One wonders if the masterminds of this political spin belong in prison if this is an accurate profile.

We now know there were no fingerprints around the scene of the crime – particularly the bathtub where his body was found. Memory sticks of his were seized by MI-6 and their content withheld from the inquest - William Hague MP is to thank for that ruling. It turns out that Hague was Jimmy Savile's wrestling partner. Hague was later accused by Rod Richards of covering up Peter Morrison's abuse of young boys. What kind of *narrative* did Hague add to (and subtract from) the inquiry? One thing he certainly did not augment was the background to William's research, which has subsequently emerged in the public domain, from 2015 and onwards.

The court application clearly shows that judges obstructed police investigations into the 2010 murder by allowing Deutsche Bank to evade scrutiny in civil courts for audits pertinent to the money laundering operations over which Williams would most certainly have intelligence material.

Around 1992, after the fall of the Berlin Wall, a number of Russian gangs, typically led by ex-KGB officers, began to capitalize from the collapse of Communism. In the international press they were referred to as the *Russian Mafia*. It is known they used murder, torture, protection-rackets, prostitution and drugs trafficking to build significant financial resources. By 2010 or so the press had sanitized and whitewashed the history of these Mafia bosses and renaming them *Russian Oligarchs* became an integral part of the spin machinery. At the same time these criminal elite were making inroads into international politics by bribing MPs and creating fake legitimacy by buying football clubs and other high profile procurements. In almost all cases the background of the 'oligarch' is a rags-to-billions story with no details around the middle bit and a marked disinterest in any police force or security service to illuminate the truth. Roman Abramovich claims, for example, to have progressed from selling dolls to buying oilfields.

Deutsche Bank throughout this period became a major money launderer – converting Eastern European currency in Estonia into Euros and bullion bars for its Mafia clients. They did this by underwriting the Danske Estonia operation which had begun sometime before 2007. Given that DB were the underwriters it should be obvious that Danske was merely a façade – providing a retail front, but the actual money transferred, in Euros and US dollars, was sourced from DB in Germany. Consider also that throughout the 2008 crisis and onwards Deutsche Bank has repeatedly been bailed out by the EU nation states and by the USA. The sums involved with the Danske-Estonia operation were perhaps in the hundreds of billions of Euros. This continued through 2015 , when DB was led by Anshu Jain, the first defendant of my lawsuit. Jain refused to turn up for his own hearing after I challenged him on the validity of his own bullion audits. We now know that the audits were a whitewash, either ignored or non-existent, as hundreds of billions flowed to Russian Mafioso in Estonia, and thence used to buy real estate in the EU and UK. So the EU bailout money to Deutsche Bank ended up being used by the Russian Mafia to buy our properties and our businesses.

Now let me show you the circumstances around Broeksmit's death, and why his alleged suicide note, which was in the form of an apology to Anshu Jain – in which Broeksmit claimed sole responsible for Libor manipulation – was a stupid and criminal fabrication. A fabrication by someone who has his own way for long he thinks he can get away with anything.

I attach in the evidence bundle a report from the German regulator, BaFin, that was written in the Spring of 2015. This came just before my first hearing in July of 2015, and was leaked and translated about the same time. The report details the investigation by Broeksmit into Libor manipulation. (There are some minor transcription errors as the document was generated by use of Optical Character Recognition (OCR) from a PDF image source). BaFin identified that Broeksmit was a lead in the Business Integrity Review Group – 'BIRG'. (Line 282). As you can see the BIRG investigation was criticised by Frauke Menke, the Department President of BaFin. Menke concluded there that Broeksmit's investigation was superficial and inadequate, but also that Jain did not pick up

upon this, or did not care, and Jain failed to act responsibly towards his legal duties. In fact, there is nothing positive to be said about Jain in the entire document, and Broeksmit's role was merely as investigator for one particular aspect of a trader's conduct at Deutsche Bank. In no way was his investigation deemed to be especially corrupt or incorrect, other than to not have covered the most important materials – and it was Jain's job to fill in the huge gaps. But what we see from that report is Jain repeatedly in contempt of his own office.

Contrast that document with what Felix Hufeld, President of BaFin, wrote – 'The suspicion that you made knowingly incorrect statements to a regulator seems unsubstantiated to me... This aspect will therefore no longer be relevant in the continued assessment by BaFin'. Source - <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2015-07-25/bafin-clears-jain-of-misleading-bundesbank-on-rate-manipulation>.

'Seems unsubstantiated' is obviously stonewalling Menke's research. Menke lists many points against Jain – none of which is addressed in Hufeld's statement to the press. Hufeld could just as well have concluded that Jain was not in a position to represent the truth to the regulator – because he had not handled his duties on office diligently. One wonders what Hufeld would have claimed back in 2015 had it been public domain that Deutsche Bank, under Jain, was laundering money for Epstein and the Russian Mafia. Jain was *never* honest about that. Hufeld should have revised his findings long ago when the Epstein materials came out in 2019.

Hufeld dropped BaFin's gold manipulation probe against Deutsche Bank within 48 hours of Jain being served my lawsuit by email. This Reuters article:

<https://www.reuters.com/article/fx-investigation-bafin-idUKL6N0V61NO20150127> is dated 27 Jan 2015. The serving by email, which was CCed to the Birmingham Mercantile Court, was dated 25 Jan 2015 22:44. It could well be that a more accurate time band is closer to 12 hours than 48 hours.

An honest police investigation could identify communications on the 26<sup>th</sup> of January 2015 between Jain and Hufeld and establish the exact time that Hufeld made his 'decision'. That 'decision' by BaFin cleared Deutsche Bank and its executives of gold price manipulation and Forex manipulation. Subsequently it has been fined and sued successfully on both fronts for manipulating these markets over the period which BaFin said they reviewed and found them innocent. BaFin, headed by Hufeld, is clearly in league with the manipulators, and Hufeld would know that Broeksmit's alleged suicide would have come under the spotlight had Jain been found to have misled the Bundesbank regarding its Libor rates, or had faked bullion audits. Broeksmit was ideally positioned as a witness against Jain's misconduct.

Broeksmit had no reason at all to take the blame for Libor rigging on himself – he did the job he said he was going to do and completed it. It was Jain who failed to give him the more extensive role that was required to detect Libor manipulation. Broeksmit would have known the allegations from BaFin were correct – that Jain was negligent, and created a culture where Libor rigging could thrive. He had no reason to believe that the blame would all fall upon himself. He had no reason to commit suicide. There is no evidence provided by anyone that Broeksmit faced arrest or was threatened by anyone.

Jain's history is one of negligence, corruption and contempt for any kind of audit.

I addressed a FOIA to the coroner, Fiona Wilcox, asking which Deutsche Bank lawyer gave her advice on what information to suppress in the coroner's report:

[https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/deutsche\\_bank\\_lawyers\\_involved\\_w](https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/deutsche_bank_lawyers_involved_w)

I also emailed her directly. The Judiciary refused to answer the FOIA, and Ms Wilcox refused to answer the personal email. If Broeksmit had committed suicide, and the public domain coroner's report was accurate, then it seems to me the Judiciary would have no issue identifying that Emma Slatter, General Counsel of Deutsche Bank, would be the lady who lent on Wilcox. Of course, if Slatter paid off Wilcox, in return for suppressing Broeksmit's alleged suicide note, at a time when Jain's role in Libor manipulation was being investigated, in public, then Wilcox and the Judiciary would be loathe to admit any detail that could incriminate the coroner and the system for the most foul cover up of Broeksmit's murder. At the very least the alleged suicide note was entirely pertinent to BaFin's investigations into Jain for Libor manipulation – and Wilcox saw to it, that nobody but Jain, Slatter and the Broeksmit family house had access to it.

The trail, as you can see in my court order, leads directly to three Lord Chief Justices, Lord Judge, Baron Thomas and Baron Burnett. They were laundering money for the Russian Mafia themselves – the parties included Oleg Deripaska and Michael Cherney, both of whom are now on the US sanctions list. Cherney is a violent and murderous gangster and on the receiving end of the Court of Appeal's criminal operations. The US government, validated by the US judiciary, has deemed Deripaska a money launderer and believes he has committed gang land murders . It appears the Judicial Appointments and Conduct Ombudsman – JACO – was set up to refuse to expose any of this. Paul Kernaghan, the former police chief of Hampshire who is its boss, knew all about Deutsche Bank's bribery of judges its money laundering and in particular its fake audits – and the best he could do to help was to say '...he could not believe Deutsche Bank would launder money.' He has done nothing to revise his judgement after Deutsche Bank's role in Estonia was exposed. Kernaghan was at the centre of Gosport scandal, in which numerous vulnerable people were killed off in some Harold Shipman-esque scale atrocity, the suspicions of unlawful killing were made to Kernaghan who then did nothing. An example letter, penned by Dr Old, CEO of the Isle of Wight NHS, to Kernaghan is found here:

<https://t.co/1QI1MYWkBp?amp=1>. It is quite clear Kernaghan was highly compromised before he took the position at JACO – this appears why he was given the role – so that he could be coerced not to hold Court of Appeal judges accountable for gross misconduct.

My court order provides evidence that links Deripaska and the defendants to the Epstein paedophile and blackmail operation. Contrary to what government and press have said, which is demonstrably falsified by FOIA responses from Parliament, included and highlighted in red, in the evidence bundle attached, there ARE elite paedophile rings, Epstein's was one of them, and its blackmail is still in operation, managed by the defendants for their own benefit. The blackmail was used to get Anshu Jain off from being prosecuted for his criminal associations with Deripaska and most likely used to coerce the Royal Courts of Justice into corrupting William Broeksmit's inquest. The Daily Mail, led by journalist Hugo Duncan, painted a demonstrably false picture that Epstein's blackmail operation would be scrutinized by the Treasury Select Committee. This never happened. The Daily Mail was also selling its readers on the precept that anyone that Carl Beech alleged was guilty must be innocent of all third party allegations – and that anyone who spoke of 'Elite paedophiles' was somehow brainwashed by Carl Beech. It was selling this story as the details came out about Epstein's relationship with bankers and other billionaires, such as Bill Gates. It does not take too much imagination to see that a government up to its neck in blackmail and bad behaviour, could take a fantasist such as Carl Beech and pump him with whatever suggestions they want only so that they could bring down the stage around him, and so create a false impression that MPs have been cruelly libelled. The persecution of top police officers for Carl Beech came at a time when my own MP Jeremy Lefroy, said that he would not bring up Epstein's blackmail of Parliament because it would interfere with the Carl Beech story. This I presume, came after his discussions of the matters

with the Treasury Select Committee and the Justice Select Committee. The heads of the NCA have no case to answer when MPs go out of their way to conceal the reach of the Epstein blackmail ring.

The ongoing whitewash of William's death and Deutsche Bank's criminal liabilities is led by the same political forces. It is a matter of fact that the Labour Party, The Liberals, The Conservatives and even UKIP have all received massive donations from Russian Mafia. Theresa May and Boris Johnson, for example, received tens of thousands of pounds for a single tennis match each from Lubov Chernukhin. Chernukhin's husband is a friend of Vladimir Putin, who was named by the first whistle-blower of the Estonia money laundering scandal for being its mastermind.

Under May's watch police officers were subject to the Official Secrets Act not to reveal any information they held concerning paedophilia in Parliament. This came after she invited them to give evidence about Leon Brittan. If there is no paedophilia, why the Official Secrets Act?

The Official Secrets Act has been an abuse of process, an abuse of the police force, and put into place by the parties responsible for selecting a corrupt judiciary. Lizz Truss MP and her predecessor David Liddington had all the information back in 2015 that Deutsche Bank had laundered money for the Russian Mafia and corrupted the courts to keep it secret. They would have known that Lord Mann in 2015 misled the readers of the Daily Mail to conceal the blackmail operation that was used to keep the money laundering under wraps. They knew that Sir Ian Burnett was a corrupt judge, and they made him Lord Chief Justice.

In naming names for corruption third-party witnesses have contacted me and corroborated my portraits of corrupt judges, including Captain Lawrence, who has evidence, validated by former Attorney General Geoffrey Cox, that Kernaghan, the LCJs and other CoA judges were involved in Court of Protection fraud, with the aim and results of acquiring his house. This seems to be part of a massive fraud to dispossess vulnerable people of all property and gift it to friends and associates of the courts. It is no wonder that judges do not speak out against Thomas and Burnett when they were so heavily compromised themselves in their own crimes.

We must also remember that Baron Thomas, who was Fiona Wilcox's boss during the Broeksmit inquest, sentenced Tom Hayes to almost a decade in prison, when he refused his appeal. Hayes' argument was that the DB CEOs were the masterminds of Libor manipulation. Hayes was thus a threat to Thomas himself, as Anshu Jain's guilt in Libor manipulation implies Broeksmit was murdered and Baron Thomas and Fiona Wilcox were part of the cover up. Elizabeth Gloster, one of the trio of judges against Hayes, that included Thomas, oversaw the case between Russian oligarchs Boris Berezovsky and Roman Abramovich. Gloster's stepson was paid £500,000 to represent Abramovich as a barrister. If Abramovich got rich by selling dolls full of class A drugs, and used the proceeds to buy into the Russian oilfields, then Gloster's stepson got rich from the proceeds of organized crime and the misery that wrecked so many drug addicts' lives. Of course Thomas, Lord Chief Justice, was not going to hold Gloster to account, given that he was President of the Queen's Bench when over £100 million was gifted to Michael Cherney. Sir Brian Levenson, the third judge in the appeal for Hayes, was accused by Ian Hurst, a British Army Intelligence officer, for covering up police misconduct. Hurst said of Levenson '(He was)... prepared to turn a blind eye to rampant allegations of corruption at the very centre of the nexus of corruption between the press and the police'

If Levenson was willing to cover up police corruption, we would expect him to be just as willing to cover up judicial corruption, particularly when it appears in the appeal hearing in which he sat.

Also remember Alexander Blackman 'Marine A' - who was sentenced for killing a Taliban fighter. What right did a judge have to put him away while more serious crimes were being covered up by our Lord Chief Justices. Williams, Blackman, Broeksmit and Hayes never deserved the contempt served upon them by a corrupt judiciary.

As you will see in the application for the court order, I ask for basic information from Deutsche Bank that is critical to establishing that they knowingly issued malicious and criminal and libellous court orders to silence me. In 2015 they claimed I was a fantasist and 'conspiracy theorist' for raising the possibility that gold and silver bullion prices had been manipulated. Subsequently my claims have proven to be true, and that the signatures on the court orders and defence documents were those of people with a track record of lying, bribery and blackmail – and their word was taken for granted – in their absence - by a judiciary that was busy laundering money for Deutsche Bank's Russian Mafia friends.

Who in this world would trust Anshu Jain to audit anything – and why did he get the presidency of Cantor Fitzgerald, specifically to handle Libor, when BaFin detailed his many failings to prevent the rigging of the interbank lending rates. This all stinks of blackmail and corruption. His appointment to CF has all the chutzpah of an infant rogue who is told he can thump his younger brother whenever he feels like it. Such an infantile mind and culture is exactly the stamp of the Epstein circle and we see in the court order that Jain was the banker for that circle.

I believe everything in this cover letter is true - Mark Anthony Taylor